



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET N.
08/813323	03/10/97	BALTIMORE	D 50659/JPW/JM

JOHN P WHITE  
COOPER AND DUNHAM  
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK NY 10036

HM22/1114

EXAMINER	
DAVIS, M	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1642	21

DATE MAILED: 11/14/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- ☐ is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the Final Rejection
- ☐ continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the Final Rejection

☒ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.

☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 08/22/00, has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
  - ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
  - ☒ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
  - ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
  - ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See attached

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be ☒ will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this application would be as follows:

Allowed claims: None

Claims objected to: None

Claims rejected: 3, 4, 92

However:

- ☐ The rejection of claims \_\_\_\_\_ on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
  - ☐ The rejection of claims \_\_\_\_\_ on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
4. ☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
- ☐ Other

See Attached

SUSAN UNGAR, Ph.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Art Unit: 1642

Effective February 7, 1998, the Group Art Unit location has been changed, and the examiner of the application has been changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Minh-Tam Davis, Group Art Unit 1642.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Accordingly, claims 3, 4 and 92 are being examined.

Claim 92 and dependent claims 3 and 4 will not be entered, because the amendment of claim 92 adds new matter, and raises new issues not previously considered which requires additional searches. That is although the specification discloses a protein that is truncated from amino acid position 323 to 414 at the amino terminus, the specification does not disclose, nor contemplates a truncation at the specific amino acid number 385. Further, the newly recited positions number 567 and 385 require further consideration and searches.

If the amendment were to be entered, claims 3, 4 and 92 would remain rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Satao et al. The protein comprising amino acids 363-543 taught by Sato et al is the same as the claimed protein, and meets all the limitation of the claims, because of the language "comprises" of claim 92, which reads on any sequence of any length, provided it contains the claimed sequence.



Art Unit: 1642


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Tam B. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30am to 3:30pm, except on Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4227.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0916.

Minh-Tam B. Davis

November 6, 2000

  
SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D  
PRIMARY EXAMINER